

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/786,149	PECKER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DiBrino Marianne	1644	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) DiBrino Marianne.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Sol Sheinbein.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 March 2005 & 21 March 2005 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

☒ email

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.


Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Sheinbein gave the Examiner permission for an Examiner's amendment to replace "antiheparanase" at line 4 in claim 1 with "anti-heparanase" and to add --heparanase protein-- after "monitoring" and before "expression" at line 5, and to delete the word "photograph" before the word "color" in the amendment to the specification (filed 1/3/05) on page 16 at line 13.